

BCCF E-MAIL BULLETIN #66

To subscribe, send me an e-mail (swright2@telus.net) or sign up via the BCCF (www.chess.bc.ca) webpage; if you no longer wish to receive this Bulletin, just let me know.

Stephen Wright

[Back issues of the Bulletin are available on the above webpage.]

NATIONAL CYCC

The 2005 Canadian Youth Chess Championships are currently taking place at the Grand Pacific Hotel in Victoria. Round 1 was this morning, and the tournament continues until Sunday. Over 150 youth are taking part, including a large B.C. contingent. For results, some live games, and other details see the event website:

<http://members.shaw.ca/cycc2005/index.htm>

One B.C. game score is currently available, Andrei Kostin's game with the strongest player in the tournament, GM Mark Bluvshstein:

Kostin,A - Bluvshstein,M [B51] CYCC U18B Victoria (1), 24.03.2005

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 d6 3.Bb5+ Nd7 4.d4 Ngf6 5.0-0 a6 6.Bxd7+ Nxd7 7.c4 e6 8.Bg5 Qb6 9.b3 h6 10.Bc1 Be7 11.Bb2 0-0 12.Nc3 Qc7 13.Qe2 b6 14.Rad1 Bb7 15.Kh1 Rad8 16.Ne1 cxd4 17.Rxd4 Nc5 18.f3 Rfe8 19.Qc2 Qc6 20.Rd1 b5 21.cxb5 axb5 22.Rf2 b4 23.Ne2 Qa6 24.Ba1 d5 25.exd5 Rxd5 26.Rxd5 Bxd5 27.Bd4 Rc8 28.Qd2 Qd6 29.Nc1 e5 30.Bxc5 Qxc5 31.Ncd3 Qd6 32.Re2 e4 33.fxe4 Ba8 34.Nc2 Bg5 35.Qd1 Rd8 36.Nce1 Qd4 0-1

VALERIYA'S ROUND ROBIN

Organized and directed by Lynn Stringer, this round robin was designed to take advantage of the presence of WFM Valeriya Gansvind, sometime resident of Victoria and B.C.'s strongest women player (when she is here). Held over the March 11-13 weekend with an average rating of 2274, this tournament was a hard-fought affair, but eventually Harry Moore emerged the winner, half a point ahead of Jack Yoos.

	Old	Perf	1	2	3	4	5	6											
1 Moore, Harry			2285	2513	*	1	=	1	=	1	=	1	=	1	=	1	=	1	4.0
2 Yoos, Jack			2407	2408	0	*	=	1	1	1									3.5
3 Martinovsky, Ian			2224	2285	=	=	*	0	=	1									2.5
4 Meng, Fanhao			2251	2200	0	0	1	*	1	0									2.0
5 Gansvind, Valeriya			2297	2190	=	0	=	0	*	1									2.0
6 Wu, Howard			2185	2053	0	0	0	1	0	*									1.0

Cumulative scores:

	1	2	3	4	5
1 Moore	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0
2 Yoos	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.5
3 Martinovsky	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.5
4 Meng	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
5 Gansvind	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0
6 Wu	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

After three rounds Moore, Yoos, and Gansvind were tied for first (Moore having helped his own cause by beating Yoos in the third round), but then Moore pulled away on the last day with two more wins, while Yoos was held to a draw by Martinovsky in round four and Gansvind lost her last two games.

Wu,H - Gansvind,V [B41] Valeria's Master RR Victoria (1.2), 11.03.2005

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 e6 3.d4 cxd4 4.Nxd4 a6 5.c4 Nf6 6.Nc3 d6 7.Be2 Be7 8.0-0 Qc7 9.Nb3 Nbd7 10.Bf4 b6 11.Rc1 Bb7 12.f3 0-0 13.Kh1 Ne5 14.Qd2 Rac8 15.Be3 Rfe8 16.Rfd1 Bf8 17.Na4 Ned7 18.Bf4 e5 19.Bg5 h6 20.Bxf6 Nxf6 21.Qe3 Nd7 22.Nc3 Qb8 23.Nd5 Bc6 24.g3 a5 25.Bf1 a4 26.Na1 b5 27.cxb5 Bxb5 28.Bxb5 Qxb5 29.Nc7 Rxc7 30.Rxc7 Nc5 31.b3 Qa5 32.Rc6 Qb5 33.Rc7 Qb6 34.Rxc5 dxc5 35.Nc2 Rb8 36.Rd3 Qa6 37.Kg2 c4 38.bxc4 Qxc4 39.Rc3 Qxa2 40.Qa7 Rd8 41.Kh3 Rd2 42.Qc7 Qe6+ 43.g4 Qf6 44.Ne1 a3 45.Qb8 Qa6 0-1

Yoos,J - Wu,H [B67] Valeria's Master RR Victoria (2.3), 12.03.2005

The continuation of a theoretical debate in the Richter-Rauzer variation (see Bulletins 44 and 62); Wu did not respond accurately to a varied move order (12.Qe1 instead of 12.Kb1), allowed a stock "sacrifice" on d5, and was the victim of a tactical shot a few moves later.

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 Nc6 3.d4 cxd4 4.Nxd4 Nf6 5.Nc3 d6 6.Bg5 e6 7.Qd2 a6 8.0-0-0 Bd7 9.f4 b5 10.Bxf6 gxf6 11.Nxc6 Bxc6 12.Qe1 Qb6 13.Nd5 Bxd5 14.exd5 e5 15.Qe4 Rc8 16.Kb1 Be7 17.Be2 Rc7 18.Rhf1 h6 19.fxe5 fxe5 20.Rxf7 Bg5 21.Rxc7 Qxc7 22.h4 Bf6 23.Rf1 Rf8 24.Qg6+ Qf7 25.Rxf6 1-0

Wu,H - Meng,F [B08] Valeria's Master RR Victoria (3.1), 12.03.2005

Perhaps due to an overly-long game against Martinovsky in the morning round, Meng loses a vital pawn in the early middlegame and is ground down.

1.e4 g6 2.d4 Bg7 3.Nc3 d6 4.Nf3 Nc6 5.Be3 Nf6 6.h3 0-0 7.Bd3 e5 8.dxe5 Nxe5 9.Nxe5 dxe5 10.0-0 b6 11.Qe2 Qe7 12.Bg5 c6 13.Rad1 h6 14.Bxf6 Bxf6 15.Bc4 b5 16.Bb3 Bb7 17.Qg4 Rfd8 18.Qxg6+ Bg7 19.Qh5 a6 20.a4 Rd4 21.axb5 axb5 22.Ne2 Rd7 23.Ng3 Rad8 24.Nf5 Qf6 25.Rxd7 Rxd7 26.Qg4 Bc8 27.Ra1 Rd8 28.Ra7 Bxf5 29.exf5 Rf8 30.Qg6 b4 31.Rc7 e4 32.Rxc6 Qxb2 33.f6 Qc1+ 34.Kh2 Qf4+ 35.Qg3 Qxg3+ 36.Kxg3 Bh8 37.Kf4 Kh7 38.Bd5 Rb8 39.Bxe4+ Kg8 40.Kg4 Re8 41.f3 Kf8 42.Ra6 Re6 43.Ra8+ Re8 44.Kf5 h5 45.g4 hxg4 46.fxg4 1-0

Moore,H - Yoos,J [B09] Valeria's Master RR Victoria (3.2), 12.03.2005

1.e4 g6 2.d4 d6 3.Nc3 Bg7 4.f4 Nf6 5.Nf3 0-0 6.Bd3 Na6 7.0-0 c5 8.d5 Rb8 9.Qe2 Nc7 10.a4 a6 11.a5 Bg4 12.h3 Bxf3 13.Qxf3 Nd7 14.Ne2 b5 15.axb6 Rxb6 16.Ra2 Qb8 17.b3 e6 18.Bb2 exd5 19.exd5 Bxb2 20.Rxb2 Nf6 21.Bc4 Qa8 22.f5 Nd7 23.Ra2 Qd8 24.Nc3 Qh4 25.Ne4 f6 26.fxg6 hxg6 27.Ra4 f5 28.Nd2 Qd4+ 29.Rf2 Qg7 30.Qg3 Ne5 31.Nf3 Nxf3+ 32.Rxf3 Qf6 33.Bd3 Qg7 34.Rh4 Rf6 35.Qg5 Rb8 36.Rh6 Rbf8 37.Rg3 Kf7 38.Qh4 Kg8 39.Bxf5 1-0

Martinovsky,I - Gansvind,V [A26] Valeria's Master RR Victoria (3.3), 12.03.2005

1.c4 e5 2.g3 Nc6 3.Bg2 d6 4.d3 g6 5.Nf3 Bg7 6.Nc3 h6 7.e4 Be6 8.Be3 Qd7 9.Nd5 Nd8 10.Qd2 c6 11.Nc3 Ne7 12.0-0 Bh3 13.Rad1 Bxg2 14.Kxg2 f5 15.d4 f4 16.dxe5 fxe3 17.Qxe3 0-0 18.exd6 Nc8 19.Nh4 Qe6 20.c5 b6 21.d7 Ne7 22.Rd6 Qf7 23.cxb6 axb6 24.Nf3 Nb7 25.Rd2 Rad8 26.Rfd1 Nc5 27.h4 Ne6 28.a4 Nc5 29.Rd6 Nxd7 30.R6d2 Nc5 ½-½

Meng,F - Gansvind,V [B22] Valeria's Master RR Victoria (4.1), 13.03.2005

In his favourite Sicilian variation Meng grabs a pawn and hangs on for dear life ...

1.e4 c5 2.c3 d5 3.exd5 Qxd5 4.d4 Nc6 5.dxc5 Qxd1+ 6.Kxd1 e5 7.b4 a5 8.Bb5
Bd7 9.Nf3 axb4 10.cxb4 0–0–0 11.Bxc6 Bxc6+ 12.Nbd2 f6 13.a3 e4 14.Ne1 Nh6
15.h3 Nf5 16.Bb2 e3 17.fxe3 Ng3 18.Rg1 Ba4+ 19.Nc2 Ne4 20.Bd4 Ng3 21.Nb1
Nf5 22.Kc1 Bxc2 23.Kxc2 Nxd4+ 24.exd4 Rxd4 25.Nc3 Be7 26.Rge1 Kd7
27.Nb5 Rd5 28.Rad1 Rxd1 29.Rxd1+ Kc8 30.Nc3 Bd8 31.Re1 Kd7 32.Nd5 h5
33.Kc3 h4 34.Kc4 Rh5 35.Rd1 Kc8 36.Kb5 Rxd5 1–0

Yoos,J - Martinovsky,I [B60] Valeria's Master RR Victoria (4.2), 13.03.2005

Martinovsky surprises Yoos in the Richter-Rauzer by playing the very move that 6.Bg5 is supposed to prevent (6...g6); White's advantage dissipates in the transition to the ending (time trouble?).

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 d6 3.d4 cxd4 4.Nxd4 Nf6 5.Nc3 Nc6 6.Bg5 g6 7.Bxf6 exf6 8.Bb5
Bd7 9.Bc4 a6 10.0–0 Bg7 11.Nxc6 Bxc6 12.Qd3 0–0 13.Rad1 Qa5 14.Nd5 Rfe8
15.Kh1 b5 16.Bb3 Bd7 17.Qg3 Re5 18.Ne3 Qb6 19.Bd5 Rae8 20.f4 Rxe4
21.Bxe4 Rxe4 22.Nd5 Qc5 23.Qc3 Bg4 24.Qxc5 dxc5 25.Rde1 f5 26.h3 Be2
27.Rf2 Bc4 ½–½

Moore,H - Meng,F [A41] Valeria's Master RR Victoria (5.1), 13.03.2005

Meng tries the Modern but is slowly strangled by Moore; Black is practically in zugzwang for the last 20-odd moves of the game.

1.d4 g6 2.c4 Bg7 3.Nf3 d6 4.Nc3 Nc6 5.d5 Nb8 6.e4 e5 7.Be2 Ne7 8.h4 Nd7
9.h5 a5 10.hxg6 hxg6 11.Rxh8+ Bxh8 12.Be3 f6 13.Qd2 Kf7 14.0–0–0 b6 15.Nh4
Nf8 16.Rh1 Bg7 17.Bh6 Bd7 18.Bxg7 Kxg7 19.f4 exf4 20.Qxf4 g5 21.Qg3 Nfg6
22.Nf3 Qf8 23.Nd4 Ne5 24.Ncb5 Rc8 25.Rh5 N7g6 26.Qh2 Qf7 27.g3 Ng4
28.Bxg4 Bxg4 29.Nf5+ Bxf5 30.exf5 Nf8 31.Nd4 Nh7 32.Rh6 Kh8 33.Ne6 Qe7
34.Rg6 Rg8 35.Qh6 Qd7 36.g4 Qf7 37.b3 Qe7 38.Kd2 Qf7 39.Kd3 Qe7 40.Ke4
a4 41.b4 b5 42.cxb5 Qd7 43.Qh5 Re8 44.Rh6 Rb8 45.Qg6 Qe7 46.Kd3 Rc8
47.Kc4 Rb8 48.Kc3 Rc8 49.Kb2 Rb8 50.Ka3 Ra8 51.Rh3 Rb8 52.Kxa4 c6
53.Rxh7+ Qxh7 54.Qxh7+ Kxh7 55.bxc6 Kg8 56.c7 Ra8+ 57.Kb5 1–0

VANCOUVER REGIONAL CHESS CHALLENGE

This event attracted 91 players to BCIT on March 13, down substantially from last year. Possible explanations include the glorious weather that day, baseball tryouts that weekend, and the general saturation of the junior tournament schedule (because of the CYCC having to be scheduled so early, there have been few weekends without a junior event this year). Nevertheless, those who did attend enjoyed themselves; here are the trophy winners by grade:

Grade 1

- 1 Harrison Lee
- 2 Gregory Sastrawidjaya
- 3 Lawrence Luong

Grade 2

- 1 Donovan Zhao
- 2 Alex Sabaratnam
- 3 Carmen Young

Grade 3

- 1 Joward Tabucol
- 2 Kyle Zheng
- 3 Sunny Lee

Grade 4

- 1 Jack Cheng
- 2 Arik Milner
- 3 Alexandra Botez

Grade 5

- 1 David Choi
- 2 Ivan Cheung
- 3 Foster Tom

Grade 6

- 1 Aviv Milner
- 2 Brian Tsoung
- 3 Andy Lee

Grade 7

- 1 Bryan Young
- 2 Kyle King
- 3 Eric Lerer

Grade 8

- 1 Vlad Gaciu
- 2 Michael Wee
- 3 Ana Maria Luca

Grade 9

- 1 Ben Steinson
- 2 Amrinder Bolina
- 3 Christopher Kyle

Grade 10

- 1 Imran Khan
- 2 Aaron Hsu
- 3 Wesley Tang

Grade 11

- 1 Lucas Davies
- 2 Joel Fox

Grade 12

- 1 Ilan Keshet
- 2 Ivan Zyrianov
- 3 Amman Khan

This tournament also has a team component, in that players from the same school can combine their best scores (top five for elementary, top four for secondary) towards a school trophy. The elementary trophy was won by St. George's school with 19 points, ahead of Nightingale (16), and Miller Park (11). John Oliver won the secondary trophy with 12.5 points, St. John's was second with 8.5.

BCCF AGM

The Annual General Meeting of the British Columbia Chess Federation will be held:

Date: Saturday, May 21, 2005

Time: 9:00 am

Location: Hungarian Cultural Centre, 728 Kingsway, Vancouver, BC

Note: The Keres Memorial Tournament rounds on May 21, 2005, will start at 11:30 am and 5:30 pm.

Come out and help make a difference to chess in B.C.!

1925 B.C. CHAMPIONSHIP

In 1925 Easter, the traditional time for the B.C. Championship, occurred on the weekend of April 10-12. The tournament attracted six entrants, two from Victoria (Enke and Barker), the rest from Vancouver. Held as a double round-robin, the event was held at the Vancouver Chess Club. The exact schedule is difficult to determine due to lack of information; Max Enke's games are preserved at the B.C. Archives in Victoria, and he generally played two rounds a day (April 10, 12, and 13), but with one round on Easter Saturday (April 11) and one round a week later (April 18). Unfortunately he didn't indicated round numbers on his scoresheets, just dates. It also appears that the pairing system used was irregular, at least by modern expectations - there are too many occurrences of lack of alternation of colour, to say nothing of the order of the opponents. [At the New York International tournament in 1924 lots were drawn to decide the pairings, but then the round for the day was also chosen by lot, so players did not know until 15 minutes before the round who they were playing. This led to a number of anomalies, e.g., Réti at one point received five blacks in a row.]

1925 B.C. Championship

	1	2	3	4	5	
1 Enke, Max	* *	= =	1 1	1 1	0 1	6.0
2 Sugarman, Ephraim R.	= =	* *		+	1 +	5.0
3 Barker, William J.	0 0		* *		1 =	3.5
4 Millar, Charles F.	0 0	-		* *	0 1	3.0
5 Yates, Bertram A.	1 0	0 -	0 =	1 0	* *	2.5

Vancouver Chess Club

The players in 1925 were: Max Enke (1884-1971), businessman and Galiano Island pioneer; Ephraim Sugarman, lawyer and prominent member of the Jewish community; William Barker (1868-1941), druggist and reigning B.C. champion; Charles Millar (1882-1954), businessman who founded Seaport Agencies; and Bertram Yates (1868-1953), former First Teller with Lloyd's Ltd., England, and former B.C. champion 1917-1918. Excluding Sugarman, their respective ages were 41, 57, 43, and 56 - no spring chickens there.

Enke's last game on April 18 requires some comment. The participants were unable to complete the tournament by the Tuesday after Easter, which seems to have left three games to be played, Barker vs. Enke, and Sugarman vs. both Millar and Yates (presumably arranged by design, so that the Victoria and Vancouver players could both end the tournament at their respective homes, without further travelling). The scores at that point were Enke 5, Barker 3.5, Sugarman and Millar 3, Yates 2.5. It appears that Millar and Yates decided that since it was impossible to catch Enke they would not bother playing the last games, and both forfeited to Sugarman. This left Sugarman now tied with Enke; it is not clear if Barker and Enke would have played otherwise, but in the event Enke won in fine style from Barker to become the new B.C. champion.

Enke's games given below are all from his original scoresheets in the B.C. Archives; Enke often added annotations to his scoresheets, and these are also reproduced.

Yates,B - Enke,M [A43] BC ch Vancouver, 10.04.1925

Yates falls for a reversed version of a well-known trap in the QGA which nets Enke a rook, yet Black goes on to lose the game - psychologically not a good way to start a tournament.

1.d4 c5 2.dxc5 e6 3.b4 a5 4.c3? White failed to see Black's next three moves. **4...axb4 5.cxb4 Qf6 6.Qc2 Qxa1 7.Nc3 Ra3** Black feared, without reason, that there was a danger of his queen being trapped, so decided to force an exchange of queens, although giving up the exchange. **7...Nc6** threatening **8...Nxb4** seems better. **8.Kd2 Rxc3 9.Qxc3 Qxc3+ 10.Kxc3 b6** A bad oversight, **10...d6** should have been played. But even after the weak text move Black could have maintained his advantage by **11...Bxb4 12...Ba5** and **13...Bxb6** [Mr. Enke must have written this annotation without sight of a board, he has forgotten that White's king is on c3 - ed.]; or if **12.Bd2 Bxd2 13.Kxd2 Bb7 14.a4 Nc6 15...Nge7 16...O-O** and should win or hold White's queenside pawns. **11.cxb6 Nf6 12.Kb3 Nd5 13.e4 Nxb4 14.Nf3 Bc5 15.Be3 Bxe3 16.fxe3 N8c6 17.Nd4 Ke7 18.Bb5 Bb7 19.a4 Nxd4+ 20.exd4 Nc6 21.Rd1 Ra8** **21...d5** should have been played. **22.d5 exd5 23.exd5 Na5+ 24.Kb4 Kd6 25.Rd2 Bxd5 26.Bxd7 Nc6+ 27.Bxc6 Kxc6 28.a5 Be4 29.g3 f5 30.Rd4 Rc8 31.Rc4+ Kb7 32.a6+ Kb8 33.Rd4 Bc6**

34.h4 Re8 35.Kc5 Bf3 36.Rd7 Re5+ 37.Kd4 Re4+ 38.Kc3 Re3+ 39.Kd2 Re2+ 40.Kd3 Re8 41.a7+ Kc8 42.Rc7+ Kd8 43.Rxg7 Be4+ 44.Kc4 Re6 45.b7 1-0

Sugarman,E - Enke,M [A43] BC ch Vancouver, 10.04.1925

Enke showed interest in the new Hypermodern school of chess (see also his last game against Barker), although he didn't always apply its principles as accurately as he might have wished (see note to move 8).

1.d4 c5 2.d5 d6 3.e4 g6 4.f4 Bg7 Here 4...f5 would have broken up White's pawn advance. **5.Nf3 e6 6.Bc4 Ne7 7.0-0 0-0 8.Nc3 a6** Here 8...Bxc3 9.bxc3 exd5 10. exd5 Qa5 11.Bb2 b5 12.Be2 c4 and 13...Bb7 would have given Black a better game. **9.a4 exd5? 10.Nxd5 Bg4 11.Qe1 Nbc6 12.c3 Bxf3 13.Rxf3 Na5 14.Nxe7+ Qxe7 15.Bd5 Rac8 16.Be3** White now already has the better game; he could have exploited it more quickly by an immediate advance on the kingside by 16.f5. **16...Kh8 17.Rh3 f5 18.exf5 Rxf5 19.Ba2 Re8 20.Qg3 Rh5 21.Rxh5 gxh5 22.Re1 Qd7 23.Qg5 b5 24.Qxh5 Nc4 25.Bb1 Be5 26.Bf5 Qe7 27.fxe5 Nxe5 28.Bg5?** 28.Kh1 to move him out of check of knight would have left Black without a defence after 29.Bd4+ (if knight had moved) or Bg5 attacking the queen. **28...Nf3+ 29.gxf3? Qxe1+ 30.Kg2 Re2+ 31.Kh3 Qf1+ 32.Kg4 Qg1+?** A winning position for Black - 32...Rg2+ 33.Kf4 Qc1+ 34.Ke4 Qc2+ 35.Kd5 Qxf5+ 36.K moves Qxg4 or 35.Kf4 Qd2+ 36.Ke4 Re2+ wins. **33.Kf4 Qe3+ 34.Kg3 Qg1+ 35.Kf4 Qe3+ 36.Kg3 Qg1+ 37.Kf4** The whole game played with a lack of strategy by Black. 8...Bxc3 with the subsequent exd5 and liquidation of White centre by the isolating of White's doubled pawns on the c-file and pawn on the d-file by c4 should give Black an advantage. ½-½

Enke,M - Barker,W [B01] BC ch Vancouver, 11.04.1925

1.e4 d5 2.exd5 Qxd5 3.Nc3 Qa5 4.d4 Nf6 5.Nf3 c6 6.Ne5 Nbd7 7.Nc4 Qd8 8.Qe2 Whilst 8.Qe2 prepares for O-O-O it also threatens mate (9.Nd6); 1) however it is not a strong development move. 8.Be3 with perhaps 9.g4 and 10.g5 would seem better. White has an advantage in time and space and should use it. 2) It however blocks the KB. The best move in this position seems to be Bf4. **8...e6 9.Bf4 Nb6 10.0-0-0 Nbd5 11.Qf3** 11.Be5 seems stronger here. **11...Be7 12.h3 Nxf4 13.Qxf4 Nd5 14.Qf3 0-0 15.Kb1Bf6 16.Ne4 Qc7 17.Nxf6+ Nxf6 18.g4 Nd5 19.Bg2 b5 20.Nd2 b4 21.Nb3 a5 22.Rd2 Qb6 23.Bf1 a4 24.Nc5 a3 25.b3 Nc3+ 26.Ka1 Bb7 27.Bg2 Rfc8 28.Re1 Rc7 29.Re3 Rd8 30.Rxc3 bxc3 31.Qxc3 Ba8 32.Qe3 Qa5 33.c3 Rcc8 34.b4 Qc7 35.f4 Rd6 36.Ne4 Rd7 37.Nc5 Re7 38.Be4 f5? 39.Bc2 Qd6 40.Bb3 Kf8 41.Nxe6+ Rxe6 42.Bxe6 Re8 43.gxf5 g6 44.Qe5 Qxe5 45.dxe5 Bb7 46.Rd7 Re7 47.Rd8+ Re8 48.Rxe8+ Kxe8 49.f6 h6 50.h4 Ba6 51.Kb1** 51.c4 would seem better. **51...Bd3+ 52.Kc1 Be4 53.Kd2 Bb1 54.Ke3 Bc2 55.Kd4 Bb1 56.Kc5 Be4 57.b5 cxb5 58.Kxb5 Kf8 59.c4 g5 60.fxg5 hxg5 61.hxg5 Bg6 62.c5 Ke8 63.c6 Kd8 64.Kb6 Ke8 65.c7 Kf8 66.c8R+ Be8 67.f7 Kg7 68.fxe8Q Kh7 69.Qf7# 1-0**

Enke,M - Yates,B [A03] BC ch Vancouver, 12.04.1925

**1.f4 d5 2.e3 Nf6 3.b3 d4 4.Bd3 dxe3 5.dxe3 Bg4 6.Nf3 e6 7.Bb5+ Nbd7
8.Bxd7+ Nxd7 9.0-0 Bc5 10.Qe1 Bxf3 11.Rxf3 Nf6 12.Ba3 Bb6 13.Nd2 Qd5
14.Kh1 Ne4 15.Nxe4 Qxe4 16.c4 c6 17.c5 Bc7 18.Bb2 0-0-0 19.Qb1 Rd3
20.Bxg7 Rhd8 21.Bd4 h5 22.Qe1 e5 23.fxe5 Bxe5 24.Rxf7 Bxd4 25.Qb4 b5?**
Black should have played 25...b6 here. **26.Qa5 Rd7 27.Qa6+ Kd8 28.Rf8+ Ke7
29.Qc8 Bxc5 30.Qe8+ Kd6 31.Qxe4 Rxe3 32.Rf6+ Kc7 33.Qxc6+ 1-0**

Enke,M - Sugarman,E [B01] BC ch Vancouver, 12.04.1925

1.e4 d5 2.exd5 Qxd5 3.Nc3 Qd8 4.d4 e6 5.Be3 a6 6.Bd3 Bd6 7.Nge2
Considering that White has an advantage in time and space a forcible advance by 7.f4 (before moving Nf3 to occupy e5) and then if Black plays Ne7 8.Qg4 to provoke O-O then 9.Qh3 to provoke f5 10.g4 eventually followed by O-O-O with good attack on king's wing as Black is congested. As played in the game where Black's logical development for his queen's B is at b7 it seems unwise to castle O-O for White. **7...Ne7 8.0-0 0-0 9.Ne4 Ng6 10.c3 b6 11.Qc2 f5 12.Nxd6 cxd6
13.f4 Bb7 14.Bc4 d5 15.Bd3 b5 16.g4 16.a4** would seem to be the right move here, allowing White either to win a pawn on the queenside or to obtain the initiative there and exert pressure. **16...Bc8 17.Ng3 Nh4 18.gxf5 exf5 19.Rae1
19.a4** could still have been played here with advantage. **19...Nc6 20.Bd2 Ra7
21.Re3 Rf6 22.Rfe1 Re7 23.Bf1 Rxe3 24.Rxe3 Rh6 25.Qd3 Qf8 26.Qe2 Bd7
27.Bg2** White having a majority of pawns on the queenside should put that wing in motion. **27...Nxcg2 28.Qxcg2 Qf7 29.Qe2 Rg6 30.a4 h5 31.axb5 axb5 32.Kh1
h4 33.Nf1 Rg4 34.Rh3 Qg6 35.Qf2 Qe6 36.Ne3 Qe4+ 37.Qf3 37.Ng2** seems better. **37...Rxf4 38.Qxe4 Rxe4 39.Nxd5 Re2 40.Bg5 Kf7 41.b4 Nd8 42.Nf4
Re1+ 43.Kg2 Ne6 44.Nxe6 Bxe6 45.Bxh4 Re2+ 46.Kf3 Rc2 47.Kf4 g6 48.Ke5
Re2+ 49.Kd6 Bc4 50.Bg5 Rg2 51.Bf4 g5 52.Be5 f4 53.d5 g4 54.Rh7+ Kg6
55.Rg7+ Kh6 56.Rg8 f3 57.Ke6 f2 58.Rh8+ 1/2-1/2**

Millar,C - Enke,M [A48] BC ch Vancouver, 13.04.1925

1.Nf3 Nf6 2.d4 g6 Here 2...b5 is recommended by F. Saemisch. **3.a3 Bg7 4.c4
0-0 5.b4** Too many pawns moves without developing the pieces **5...d6 6.Bb2 c5
7.e3 a6 8.Nbd2 Bd7 9.Nb3 cxb4 10.axb4 Nc6 11.Bc3 Ne4 12.Qc2 Nxc3
13.Qxc3 Qb6 14.b5 axb5 15.cxb5 Rxa1+** By playing 15...Na7 instead of exchanging rooks, Black would have gained a pawn (b-pawn) and had his own b-pawn a passed one. **16.Qxa1 Na7 17.Qa5 Qxa5+ 18.Nxa5 b6 19.Nc4 Bxb5
20.Nxb6 Rb8 21.Bxb5 Rxb6 22.Be2** Here 22.Bd3 seems better as allowing White to O-O unless Black forced a draw by repetition of position; R on b3 and b2. **22...Rb1+ 23.Bd1 Nb5 24.Nd2 Ra1 25.Nb3 Ra3 26.Kd2 e5 27.d5 e4 28.f3
Nc3 29.Bc2 exf3 30.gxf3 Nxd5 31.h4 Ra2 32.Rc1 h5 33.Nd4 Bxd4 34.exd4
Nb4 35.Kc3 Rxc2+ 36.Rxc2 Nxc2 37.Kxc2 Kg7 38.Kd3 Kf6 39.Ke4 g5
40.hxg5+ Kxg5 41.Kd5 h4 42.Kxd6 h3 43.Ke5 f6+ 44.Ke6 h2** Black should

have played 44...f5 as he still has plenty of time to queen his h-pawn. **45.f4+ Kg6 46.f5+ Kg7?** 46...Kg5 is better. **47.d5 h1Q 48.Kd6 Qe4 49.Kc6 Qxf5 0-1**

Enke,M - Millar,C [A02] BC ch Vancouver, 13.04.1925

1.f4 d6 2.Nf3 Bg4 3.e3 e5 4.fxe5 dxe5 5.Nc3 Bb4 6.Be2 Bxc3 This merely helps White development by opening a diagonal for the B and by strengthening his centre. **7.bxc3 e4 8.Nd4 Qh4+ 9.g3 Bxe2** 9...Qh3 would be answered by 10.Bxg4 and if 10...Qg2 11.Bf3 exf3 12.Qxf3 and is a pawn to the good. **10.Qxe2 Qg5 11.0-0 Nd7 12.Qc4 Ngf6 13.Ba3** To prevent O-O. White having the open b-file to attack on with his Rs it would not be safe for Black to O-O-O. **13...c5 14.Rf5 Qg4 15.Bxc5 Rc8 16.Qb5 Qxf5** 16...a6 would not have helped Black as 17.Re5+ Kd8 18.Qa5+ would have given White a good attack. Black at move 16 hoped to get 3 pieces for his Q, as he had not seen that White's 18th move **18.Qxb7** threatened to win the R/h8 by Qa8+. **17.Nxf5 Rxc5 18.Qxb7 0-0 19.Nd4 a5 20.Rb1 h5 21.Qa6 h4 22.Rb5** White should have played 22.Ne2 which both defends g3 and c3 the latter being needed before advancing the centre by d4. As White besides an advantage in pieces has an advantage of pawns on the queen's wing he should set that in motion. **22...Rfc8 23.Qxa5 hgx3 24.hgx3 g6 25.Nb3** White should have exchanged Rs here 25.Rxc5 Rxc5 26.Qb4 and advancing a-pawn. If 26...Nd5 27.Qb7. **25...R5c6 26.Nd4 Rd6 27.Qa3 Ne8 28.Rb7 Kg7 29.Qb3 Nef6 30.a4 Rd5 31.Rb5 Nc5 32.Qa3 Rh5 33.Nb3 Rch8 34.g4** 34.g4 is the only move to prevent a mate in two by 34.Rh1 and Rh2, though Black has still a mate by 34...Rh1+ 35.Kg2 R8h2+ 36.Kg3 Rh3+ 37.Kg2 Nxg4 38.any (Qa1) R1h2+ 39.Kg1 Rg3+ 40.Kf1 Rf2+ 41.Ke1 Rg1#. If 37.Kf4 Ncd7 38.any Rf3+ 39.Kg5 Nh7# (if 38.Rh5 Rf3+ 39.Kg5 Rxh5+ 40.gxh5 Nh7+ 41.Kh4 g5+ 42.Kg4 Ndf6#):



34...Rg5 After this move Black only has a draw left him by perpetual check, by after move 36 repeating checks on h2 and h1. **35.Nxc5 Rxg4+ 36.Kf2 Rh2+ 37.Kf1 Rhg2** Threatening mate by Rg1+ and R4g2# **38.Ne6+** The only escape; 38.Nxe4 Nxe4 39.any Rf2+ 40.Ke1 Rg1 mates is no use. **38...Kh7** If 38...fxe6 39.Qe7+ Kh6 40.Qf8+ Kh7 41.Rb8 mates. Similar if 38...Kh6. **39.Ng5+ Rxg5** If 39...Kg7 40.Nh3 which would allow White to give up rook and knight for rook

after which White should win as in game. **40.Rxg5 Rxg5 41.d3 Ng4 42.Ke2 f5 43.dxe4 Nh2 44.Qe7+ Kh6 45.Qxg5+ Kxg5 46.a5** If 46.exf5 the knight can still catch pawn and stop queen. **46...f4 47.exf4+ Kxf4 48.a6 g5 49.a7 g4 50.a8Q g3 51.Qb8+ Kg4 52.Qe5 Kh3 53.Qh5+ Kg2 54.e5 Kh1 55.e6 g2 56.Qd5 Ng4 57.e7 Kh2 58.Qxg2+** More decisive would have been 58.Qh5+ Kg3 59.Qxg4+ Kxg4 60.e8=Q g1=Q 61.Qg8+ and wins Q - or if 60...g1=N+ 61.Ke3 and later exchanges Q for N and queens another pawn. **58...Kxg2 59.e8Q 1-0**

Barker,W - Enke,M [B02] BC ch Vancouver, 18.04.1925

[Enke and Thomas Piper (T.P.), the latter first published in the Victoria Daily Colonist, June 28, 1925.]

1.e4 Nf6 2.e5 Nd5 3.c4 Nb6 4.Nc3 T.P.: In the New York tourney 1924 Maroczy vs. Tartakower there occurred the following: 4.d4 d6 5.exd6 exd6 6.Nc3 Bf5 7.Be2 Be7 8.Be3 N8d7 9.Nf3 O-O 10. b3 Nf6 11.O-O Re8 12.h3 with White for choice. **4...d6 5.f4 dxe5 6.fxe5 Nc6 7.Nf3 Bg4 8.c5 Nd5 9.d4 Qd7** An immediate attack on the centre by b6 would seem the best here, if White replies by 10.Qa4 then 10...Nxc3 and if 11.Qxc6+ Bd2 wins the queen and has Black has a better position after 12.Qxa8 Qxa8 13.bxc3 bxc5 14.dxc5 e6. An attack by 11.bxc3 Bd7 12.Bb5 bxc5 13.e6 lead nowhere for White. **10.Bc4 e6 11.0-0 Be7 12.Nxd5** To protect his weak pawn on d4 by blocking the file for Black. **12...exd5 13.Bb3 0-0 14.Be3 Rad8** To protect his d-pawn before withdrawing Nb8 to prepare for an attack on the kingside by f6 and then intending to bring the queen into the game along the diagonal e8-h5. It however leaves White a chance to pin the knight on to the queen. Na5 seems better than the text move. **15.Qe1** Both covering the square on his QR5 and threatening an attack commencing by Qg3. **15...b6** T.P.: Instead of 15...b6 Mr. Enke agrees that 15...f6 was stronger and if 16.exf6 Bxf6. **16.cxb6 axb6 17.Qg3** If 17.Ba4 (with the intention of 18.Rac1) - then 17...Q-Rsq [sic] 18.b3 Rfg8 and White is forced to exchange bishop for knight. T.P.: White missed a chance here, 17.Ba4 gave him the better game, if Black reply 17...Qe8 then 18.e6 and 19.Ne5. **17...Bh5 18.a3? Na5 19.Bd1 c5 20.Rc1 Nc4 21.Qf2 f6 21...Rc8** with threat of Bxf3, Nxe3, cxd4 and Bc5 with win of exchange could be parried by 22.Kh1. The text move is a further attack on the centre and brings the KR into play. **22.b3 Nxe3 23.Qxe3 Bxf3 24.Rxf3 fxe5** This leaves Black with a stronger centre than by playing 24...cxd4 to which White could have answered 25.e6. **25.dxe5 Qe6 26.Bc2 c4 27.b4 Rde8 28.Rh3** This loses a move as White having to check the advance of the Black centre has no time for a successful attack on the king's wing. Black's reply parries the attack and prepares a support for the B at g5. **28...h6 29.Re1 Bg5 30.Qc3 Rf4 31.Bb1 d4 32.Qc2 d3 33.Qc3 b5 34.Ba2 Ref8 35.Qxd3 Kh8** Black being short of time overlooked the better line of 35...Qb6 forcing 36.Kh1 (if 36.R(either)e3 Rf1+ 37.Q(or R)xf1 Bxe3+ 38.R(or Q)xe3 Qxe3+ 39.Kh1 Rxf1 mate) 36...Kh8 37.Qe2 Rf2 winning the bishop. **36.Rg3** White also being short of time played a weak move. However there was already no defence left, 36.Qd6 being met by 36...Qf7 37.Rf3 Rxf3 38.gxf3 Qxf3 39.Qd4 Rf4 40.Qb2 Rg4+ etc. (39.Qc5 or b6 39...Bh4 and if R leaves the rank Qf8 mates; if R leaves the file Bf7+ wins the queen). **36...Qb6+**

37.Qe3 Rf1+ T.P.: Mr. Enke's counterattack from move 18 is a skillful concentration upon the opponent's most important line of operations and of the simultaneous employment of this accumulated force. In game No. 44 of "Chess of Today" Em. Lasker, 27-year world's champion, was outplayed in the opening by the chess schoolmaster, Dr. Tarrasch. Mr. Enke modelled his development upon the game [Lasker-Tarrasch, Maehrisch-Ostrau 1923 - ed.]. **0-1**

CANADIAN JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP

Details of the 2005 Canadian Junior Championship have been released:

April 30th - May 4th, 2005

Days Inn, 460 Fairview Drive, Brantford, Ontario

9 Round Swiss - CFC and FIDE Rated.

Qualification: Provincial and Territorial champions automatically qualify. Remaining spots will go to players who register, in rating order, up to a maximum total of 40 players. Your date of birth must be later than January 1st, 1985.

Round Times: 10 am and 4 pm each day. Time Controls: SD / 90 with 30 second increment.

TD: Christopher Mallon. Assistant: Patrick McDonald

Entry Fees: \$150, to be paid directly to the CFC no later than April 15th.
All players must be CFC members.

Registration: c/o CFC Business Office, E1-2212 Gladwin Cres. Ottawa, ON K1B 5N1 E-mail info@chess.ca Phone: (613) 733-2844. For additional information about the event please contact Christopher Mallon, dcmallon@rogers.com or by phone (519) 720-0209.
Registration closes April 15th.

Prize Fund: 1st Place gets a free trip to the 2005 World Junior.

Equipment: All equipment is provided. Top boards will be broadcast live on the internet.

Rooms and other info: Double rooms available for SPECIAL discounted rate of \$79 per night plus tax if you mention the chess tournament. Only 12 rooms are reserved so book ASAP. Regular rate is \$99 per night. Call (519) 759-2700 to book rooms. There is also a Best Western quite close as well should more

rooms be needed. Days Inn is an easy 10 minute walk to over 30 restaurants, a mall, several large plazas, and is less than a minute's drive off the 403 on Wayne Gretzky Parkway. There will be an awards banquet on that Wednesday evening.

<http://www.chess.ca/CanJr2005.htm>

UPCOMING EVENTS

To save space, from now on I will only give basic information for events - date, place, and type. Full details for all the events listed here may be found on the BCCF site, www.chess.bc.ca.

Junior Events

Mar 24-27 National CYCC, Victoria
Apr 10 Provincial Chess Challenge, Vancouver

Daffodil Open

Dates: April 23-24
Place: University of Victoria
Type: 5-round Swiss

Worker's Memorial Chess Tournament

Dates: April 23-24
Place: Village Square, 349 Tranquille Rd., Kamloops
Type: 6-round Swiss

Appleblossom Open

Dates: May 7, 8
Place: Best Western Vernon Lodge, 3914 32nd St., Vernon
Type: 5-round Swiss

Spring Open (Seasonal Grand Prix)

Dates: May 7, 8
Place: tba
Type: 4-round Swiss

Paul Keres Memorial

Dates: May 20-23
Place: Hungarian Cultural Centre, 728 Kingsway, Vancouver
Type 6- or 7-round Swiss

Island Open

Dates: June 11-12

Place: University of Victoria

Type: 5-round Swiss

Summer Open (Seasonal Grand Prix)

Dates: June 25, 26

Place: tba

Type: 4-round Swiss